STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No.
County	In The General Court Of Justice
* 1 * :	☐ District 🖺 Superior Court Division
Name Of Plaintiff	
ELIZABETH BRUCE STRATTON	j
Address	
City, State, Zip	CIVIL SUMMONS
	ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS
VERSUS	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3, 4
Name Of Defendant(s)	Date Original Summons Issued
RBC CENTURA BANKS, INC.	Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) issued
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:	
Name And Address Of Defendant 1	Name And Address Of Defendent 2
RBC Centura Banks, Inc. By Service Upon John B. Fleming, Jr., Registered Agent for Service of Proces 3201 Beachleaf Court Raleigh, NC 27604	s
A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You! You are notified to appear and answer the complaint of th 1. Serve a copy of your written answer to the complaint of the days after you have been served. You may serve your to the plaintiff's last known address, and	
2. File the original of the written answer with the Clerk of	Superior Court of the county named above.
If you fail to answer the complaint, the plaintiff will apply	to the Court for the relief demanded in the complaint.
Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (If None, Address Of Plaintiff L. Bruce McDaniel P. O. Box 58186 Raleigh, NC 27658	Signafore AM Assistant CSC Clark Of Superior Court
□ ENDORSEMENT	Date Of Endorsement Time
This Summons was originally issued on the date	AM PM
indicated above and returned not served. At the	Signisture
request of the plaintiff, the time within which	
this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60)	Deputy CSC Assistent CSC Clerk Of Superior Court
MOTE TO PARTIES: Many counties have MANDATORY ARRITRATIO	Af annumum for which many transfer
NOTE TO PARTIES: Many counties have MANDATORY ARBITRATION \$15,000 or less are heard by an arbitrator before arbitration, and, if so, what procedure is to be for	e a trial. The parties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandator

AOC-CV-100, Rev. 10/01 ©2001 Administrative Office of the Courts **Exhibit A**

	07 CY0150 79				
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA					
Wake County		In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District 【X】Superior Court Division			
Name Of Plaintiff 1 Elizabeth Bruce Stratton			•		
Tax ID/SSN	FILED	GENERA	L		
Name Of Plaintiff 2		CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET			
		INITIAL FILING SUBSEQUENT FILING KE COUNTY CORRUPT Rules of Practice For Superior and District Courts Name And Address Of Attorney Or Party, If Not Represented (complete for initial			
Name Of Plaintiff 3		Name And Address Of Attorney Or Party, If Not Represented (complete for initial appearance or change of address)			
Tax ID/SSN		L. Bruce McDaniel P. O. Box 58186			
VERSUS		Raleigh, NC 27658			
Name Of Defendant 1		Attorney Bar No.			
RBC Centura Banks, Inc.					
Tax ID/SSN	Summons Submitted Yes No	☐ Initial Appearance in	Case	Change Of Address	
Name Of Defendant 2		Name Of Firm McDaniel & Anderson, L.L.P.			
Tex ID/SSN	Summons Submitted Yes No	Tax ID No.	Telephone No. 919-872-3000	Fax No.	
Name Of Defendant 3		Counsel for X All Plaintiffs All Def	endants	(List party(les) represented)	
Tex ID/SSN	Summons Submitted Yes No				
Jury Demanded In Pleading? ☐No 🗵	Amount in controversy does not exceed \$15,000. Stipulate to arbitration.				
TYPE OF PLEADING			FOR RELIEF FOI	3	
Check all that apply Amended Answer/Reply (AMND-Response) Amended Complaint (AMND) Answer/Reply (ANSW-Response) Complaint (COMP) Confession of Judgment (CNFJ) Counterclaim vs. (CTCL) All Plaintiffs Only (List on Back) Crossclaim vs. (List on Back) (CRSS) Extend Statute of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL) Extended Time For Answer (MEOT-Response) Extended Time For Complaint (EXCO) Rule 12 Motion in Lieu Of Answer (MDLA) Third Party Complaint (List Third Party Defendants on Back) (TPCL) Other: (specify)		Administrative Appeal (ADMA) Appointment of Receiver (APRC) Attachment/Garnishment (ATTC) Claim and Delivery (CLMD) Collection on Account (ACCT) Condemnation (CNDM) Contract (CNTR) Discovering Scheduling Order (DSCH) Injunction (INJU) Medical Malpractice (MDML) Minor Settlement (MSTL) Money Owed (MNYO) Negligence - Motor Vehicle (MVNG) Negligence - Other (NEGO) Motor Vehicle Lein G.S. 44A (MVLN) Limited Driving Privilege - Out of State Convictions (PLDP) Possession of Personal Property (POPP) Product Liability (PROD) Real Property (RLPR) Specific Performance (SPPR) Other: (specify) Declaratory Judgment			
Date 6 3 - 10 1	<	Signature Of Attomey/Party	1	_1 _	
NOTE: All Papers filed in civil actions, special proceedings the filing in a format prescribed by the Administrativ not include the required coversheet.) AOC-CV-751 New 10/96	and estates shall include e Office of the Courts. "Ti	as the Airst page of the filing a cover s ne Superior Court shall require a party	heet summfartzing the to refile any paper wit	chical elements of	

FILED

NORTH CAROLINA
WAKE COUNTY 2007 SEP 20 P 3: 25

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

ELIZABETH BRUCE STRATTON, Plaintiff

COMPLAINT FOR DECALATORY
JUDGMENT, RELATED MANDATORY
COURT ORDER, AND
REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

RBC CENTURA BANKS, INC., Defendant

♥.

ng of the defendant, alleges and

The plaintiff, complaining of the defendant, alleges and says that:

- 1. The nature of this case concerns a claim by the plaintiff Elizabeth Bruce Stratton for a declaratory judgment and related court order arising out of her ownership of an equity interest in the defendant RBC Centura Banks, Inc., the successor in interest to The Bank of Manteo, because the plaintiff is the sole successor in interest to the original owner of the original The Bank of Manteo stock certificate originally owned by the plaintiff's predecessor.
- 2. The plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Dare County, State of North Carolina.
- 3. The defendant is a North Carolina bank operating virtually in all counties in North Carolina and specifically with an original office in Wake County, State of North Carolina.
- 4. The defendant bank is the successor in interest to the original The Bank of Manteo, the issuer of the original stock

certificate which is the subject of this action, and as a result thereof, is entitled not only to the benefits from such succession, but all liabilities relating thereto, including the rights of the plaintiff as described more fully hereinafter.

- 5. Matilda Ethridge, the original owner of the subject stock certificate, originally purchased the subject five shares of stock represented by certificate number 86 in 1927. This certificate is attached as Exhibit A hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
- 6. Ms. Ethridge married Elwood Inge in 1935, when she changed her name to Matilda E. Inge. They were divorced in 1958 and Mr. Inge died in Virginia in 1971. Ms. Inge remained in Manteo, North Carolina until her death in 1980.
- 7. Matilda Ethridge Inge was survived by her daughter, sole heir and executor of her estate, Elizabeth Bruce Stratton, the plaintiff herein.
- 8. The plaintiff is therefore the sole heir of the original shareholder, Matilda Ethridge Inge, and as a consequence thereof, is entitled to all benefits of that stock ownership in the original The Bank of Manteo, the successive interest to which is described in more detail hereinafter.
- 9. In 1962, The Bank of Manteo merged with Planters
 National Bank with 2.8 shares of Planters National Bank stock

required to be issued for every one share of The Bank of Manteo stock. Therefore, after accounting for stock dividends and stock splits, the original certificate of five shares (the "Original Shares' herein) should have been 350 shares of Planters National Bank.

- 10. As a result of stock splits and dividends, those Original Shares by March 16, 1987 should have equaled 4,301.17140 shares of stock of Planters National Bank.
- 11. In November, 1990, Planters National Bank merged with Peoples Bank Corp. to form Centura Banks, Inc. on the basis of a one-for-one exchange, resulting in an equivalent number of shares of Centura Banks, Inc. in the amount of 4,301.17140 shares for the Planters National Bank shares.
- 12. In June, 2001, Centura Banks, Inc. merged with the Royal Bank of Canada forming RBC Centura Banks, Inc. with 1.684 shares of the Royal Bank of Canada issued for each RBC Centura Banks, Inc. share, resulting in 7,243.17260 shares of RBC Centura Banks, Inc. for the Centura Bank, Inc. shares.
- 13. After a two-for-one stock split of the RBC Centura
 Banks, Inc. shares on April 7, 2006, the Original Shares would
 have been equal to 14,486.34500 shares of RBC Centura Banks,
 Inc., the number of its shares presently at issue in this case.

- 14. The plaintiff is therefore entitled to have her stock certificate number 86 for five shares of The Bank of Manteo replaced by the defendant with 14,486.34500 shares of stock in RBC Centura Banks, Inc., plus the payment of unpaid dividends to the plaintiff or her successors for which there has been no accounting.
- 15. The plaintiff first learned that the defendant would not honor the plaintiff's request for replacement stock certificates and unpaid dividends, as to which the defendant refused, on or about September 8, 2006.
- 16. The plaintiff is therefore entitled to a declaratory judgment under Article 26 of the North Carolina General Statutes declaring that she is the owner of 14,486.34500 shares of stock of the defendant, and entitled to all dividends unaccounted for based upon such amounts as she is able to prove at trial.
- 17. The plaintiff is also entitled to an order of this court ordering that the defendant issue to the plaintiff that number of 14,486.34500 shares of its stock, plus accretions thereto based upon any stock split or stock dividends from the time of the filing of this case through the date of trial.
- 18. If the court does not order that those shares be reissued in the name of the plaintiff, and the payment of all

unpaid dividends, the plaintiff would not have an adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays that she have:

- 1. A declaratory judgment against the defendant adjudging that she is the owner of all right, title, and interest to the successor shares to the original The Bank of Manteo shares of at least 14,486.34500 shares plus any additional shares to which she may be entitled to by virtue of accretion through stock dividends and stock splits.
- 2. That the court order the defendant to issue the foregoing number of shares to the plaintiff.
- 3. That she have and recover of the defendant any dividends to which neither she nor her successors received credit, based upon the evidence at trial.
- 4. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
- 5. That the costs of this action be taxed against the defendant.

A JURY TRIAL IS RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED.

This the 2049 day of September, 2007.

McDANIEL & ANDERSON, L.L.P.

L. Bruce McDaniel

Attorney for Plaintiff Post Office Box 58186

Raleigh, North Carolina 27659 Tel. (919) 872-3000



